CI EDV C

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR 2015 DEC 21 PM 4: 25

SAVANNAH DIVISION

SO. DIST. OF GA.
CASE NO. 4:13-cr-00007-2

ORDER

Before the Court is Defendant's Motion For Reduction In Sentence Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). On June 10, 2015, the Court, sua sponte, reduced Defendant's custodial term from 121 months to 108 months, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and Amendment 782 to the United States Sentencing Guidelines. that motion, he acknowledges sentence Defendant's instant reduction but asserts that the Court assigned him the wrong criminal history category when calculating the amended guideline range.

Defendant has previously made this same assertion by means of a Motion to Correct Clerical Error (Doc. 780). That motion was denied by this Court on November 18, 2015 (Doc. 783). In that while the Court, that Order, it was explained sentencing, had awarded Defendant a downward departure from the 4A1.3 (overapplicable quidelines pursuant to USSG S representation of criminal history category), the Court had still adopted criminal history category III as applicable to The Court merely sentenced Defendant, not category II. Defendant as if his criminal history category were category II by downwardly departing from a range of 135 to 168 months (which

Grilly Holloway, Jr. Order on Motion Page 2

corresponds to a total offense level of 31, criminal history category III). As such, Defendant is correctly assigned a criminal history category III.

Since the Court has already reduced Defendant's sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), Defendant's instant motion is construed as a motion for reconsideration of the Court's decision in that regard. In determining the appropriate Defendant, the Court properly sentence reduction as to calculated the amended range as 108 to 135 months, which corresponds to a total offense level of 29, criminal history category III. As noted above, Defendant's sentence was reduced to 108 months. Pursuant to USSG § 1B1.10(b)(2), the Court could not again downwardly depart from the amended guideline range, as such is prohibited.

Defendant's Motion For Reduction In Sentence (construed as a Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's Order reducing sentence), is DENIED.

SO ORDERED this 2/3 day of DECEMBER 2015.

WILLIAM T. MOORE, JR.
JUDGE, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA